THE CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY OF AMERICA  
School of Library and Information Science  

LSC 608: Collection Development  
Spring, 2008  
Graduate Syllabus  

3 credit hours  
Prerequisites: none  

Classroom: Aquinas Hall Room 108  
Tuesdays, 7:35 – 10:00 p.m.  

Kimberly B. Kelley, Ph.D.  
228 Marist Hall  
202-319-5085  
kellyk@cua.edu  
Office hours: by appointment (and in the Blackboard classroom)  

Course Description (from Cardinal Station: http://cardinalstation.cua.edu):  
Principles and practices in selection, evaluating, and managing collections in all types of libraries and information formats. Survey of factors affecting collection building: institutional goals, user characteristics and needs, the publishing industry, special characteristics of materials in particular subject fields, formats and genres. Consideration of such topics as collection development policies, resource sharing, and digital collections.  

Instructional Methods:  
Lecture with in classroom and online, Blackboard-based discussions, readings, and collaboration. Blackboard is available at:  
http://bb.cua.edu  

Required Text:
NONE. Each week there will be required readings. The course schedule provides detailed information on the weekly required readings for the course.

Assignments:

Three (3) projects total.
Project I on reviewing – 15% of the grade;
Project II: Part A: on collection evaluation, 20% of the grade for Part A and 20% for Part B;
Project III: Developing a Collection Development Policy: 40% of the grade (in three parts as follows):
  Project III Part A on collection development policy evaluation: 10%;
  Project III Part B: 25%
  Project III Part C: Group presentation for Project III on Collection Development Policies: 5% of grade.
Class Participation: 5% of grade.

*** GRADING CRITERIA FOR PROJECTS ***

Please note: Projects may be handed in up to 10:00 p.m. on the due date without excuse or penalty. Projects received later than this will be penalized 10 points for each 12 hours of lateness.

95-100 points: Beyond the call of duty. Such a project fulfills the assignment exceptionally well. Lists and rankings are complete and accurate. It has something important to say about what does and does not get reviewed, and where - and what it says is clearly related to what was done in the project (e.g., the reviews located and the journals examined).

85-94 points: Good solid work. Such a project fulfills the assignment well. Lists and rankings should be complete and accurate, though conclusions about what types of materials do and don't get reviews are not as clearly presented as in a 12-point project. Even though conclusions may not be clear, all items are included in the discussion, and anything said is clearly based on what was found.

75-84 points: Good in some respects, though deficient in others. These projects may contain errors in lists of reviews or in rankings (e.g., missing one or two reviews in an index or miscounting reviews in ranking periodicals - if the same review appears in more than one index, be sure not to count it twice!). The discussion may be incomplete (failing to cover some items), or may make statements not clearly related to what was found.
68-74 points: Seriously deficient. These projects do not fulfill the assignment. They may be based on an incomplete list (fewer than 15 items, fewer than 6 book publishers, etc.) or lack a required part, or be based on a seriously deficient search (e.g., multiple instances of missing reviews or one instance of recording some but not all of the full list of reviews found for an item). Their discussion may make points contradicted by what was found and/or discuss matters unrelated to what was found in the search.

68 or lower: Unacceptable. These projects are seriously incomplete - lacking more than one required part - or are so full of errors (for example, in data collection) that nothing can be safely concluded from the results.

0 points: No credit. Either there has been some serious violation of university policies on academic honesty (for example, plagiarizing), or the project has been handed in so late that the penalty for lateness reduces the grade to this point.

Grading:

*Point totals converted to letter grades:*
A: 94 – 100 A-: 90 -93.99
B+: 86-89.99 B: 82-85.99 B-: 78-81.99
C: 70-77.99 F: Below 70

Academic honesty: Please read the policy on “Student Academic Dishonesty” in the University's Online Student Handbook - see http://policies.cua.edu/academicundergrad/integrity.cfm. Incidences of academic dishonesty, defined in the Handbook as “failure to observe rules of fairness in taking exams or writing papers, plagiarism, fabrication, and cheating” will result in a grade of F (0 points) on the project or exam in question, and will be reported to the Dean for possible further action (including failure in the course). See the Handbook or discuss the problem with your instructor if you have questions about what is involved in such offenses. Plagiarism will not be tolerated. The University defines plagiarism to include “[1] intentionally or knowingly representing the words or ideas of another as one's own in any academic exercise; [2] failure to attribute any of the following: quotations, paraphrases, or borrowed information from print sources or websites; [3] buying completed papers from other to use as one's own work”. For more on what constitutes plagiarism and how to avoid it, please read (carefully) Margaret Proctor’s “How Not to Plagiarize” [http://www.utoronto.ca/writing/plagsep.html].

Students who need help with library jargon used in class and readings may find Joan Reitz's ODLIS: Online Dictionary of Library & Information Science (http://www.lu.com/odlis) useful.

Join COLLDEV-L. Subscription information may be found at: https://listserv.du.edu/mailman/listinfo/colldev-l At the end of semester, UNSUBSCRIBE (unless you are truly interested in continuing to read the daily posts). To do this, return to the site [https://listserv.du.edu/mailman/listinfo/colldev-l] where you subscribed and follow the instructions for unsubscribing on that same page at the bottom.

Recommended Text:

NONE. However, each week there will be recommended readings associated with the discussion/class meeting for that week. They are intended for those interested in reading further. The recommended readings are not required.

Reading materials and Web-based materials:

The entirety of reading materials, both required and recommended for this course, are provided in a weekly format in the Course Schedule (see below)

Goals for Student Learning:

Upon completion of this course, students should be able to:

1. identify production, marketing and distribution practices that determine availability;
2. describe how materials are acquired by libraries and other information agencies, or otherwise made available to their users;
3. analyze user needs and develop collections responsive to them;
4. discuss legal and ethical issues involved in the production, distribution, and use of materials, including responding appropriately to attempts to restrict collection content or use.

**LSC 608: Collection Development: Course Schedule**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Required Readings</th>
<th>Recommended Readings</th>
<th>Assignment</th>
<th>Due Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Books for Different Folks: Where Book Reviews Come From…”
*Public Libraries* 32:11-12, 14, 16-17.
[http://dir.yahoo.com/Arts/Humanities/Literature/Reviews/](http://dir.yahoo.com/Arts/Humanities/Literature/Reviews/)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week 2</th>
<th>January 22-28</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ADMINISTRATIVE MONDAY</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NO CLASS</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PROJECT I DUE IN LIEU OF CLASS</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PROJECT I DUE BY 10:00 P.M. EDT</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Project I due**
(see description of assignment requirements below)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January 29 – February 4th</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Bookjobs [jobs in publishing – and how to get one]

Group assignments for Project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>January 29, 2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>February 5, 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Online Books Page [25,000+ free online books] [<a href="http://onlinebooks.library.upenn.edu/">http://onlinebooks.library.upenn.edu/</a> ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Terry, A. A. (2001). &quot;Author Care: The Rights Publishers Offer and</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Week 4
**February 5th – 11th**
**Publishing II: Serials, etc.**

3. **Wikipedia.** *Peer Review.*

### References

*What Authors Think."* *Against the Grain*, June 2001, pp. 24, 26, 28.

---

### Week 5:
**February 12 – 18**
**Collection Development**

1. **Arizona State Library.** *Collection development policies.*
   [http://www.lib.az.us/cdt/colldev.htm](http://www.lib.az.us/cdt/colldev.htm)
2. **Dartmouth College Library.** *Collection Development Framework*

### References

Brown: [http://www.brown.edu/Administration/George_Street_Journal/vol24/24GSJ19c.html](http://www.brown.edu/Administration/George_Street_Journal/vol24/24GSJ19c.html)
Bergstom, T & McAfee, P. *Journal of cost-effectiveness.*
[http://journalprices.com](http://journalprices.com)

---

**Project II Part A Due**
**Project II Part A DUE**
**February 19, 2008**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**BY 10:00 P.M. EDT**
| Week 6  
February 19 – 25  
Copyright and licensing |
|---|
[http://www.press.umich.edu/jep/07-01/harper.html](http://www.press.umich.edu/jep/07-01/harper.html) |
| University of Texas System. Copyright Crash Course.  
Yale University Libraries. LibLicense: Licensing Digital Information  
[http://www.library.yale.edu/~license/index.shtml](http://www.library.yale.edu/~license/index.shtml)  
[http://www.aallnet.org/about/policy_fair.aspx](http://www.aallnet.org/about/policy_fair.aspx)  
[http://www.dlib.org/dlib/july01/bell/07bell.html](http://www.dlib.org/dlib/july01/bell/07bell.html) |
| February 26, 2008 |
| Copyright Clearance Center. [http://www.copyright.com/ccc/home.do] |
| Creative Commons. [http://creativecommons.org/] |
| LibraryLaw.com [http://www.librarylaw.com] |
### Week 7
**February 26 – March 3, 2008**

**Distribution and acquisitions I: Basic Functions, Single Orders, Out of Print**

3. BUBL Link Catalogue of Internet Resources: Acquisition. [http://bubl.ac.uk/link/a/acquisitions.htm](http://bubl.ac.uk/link/a/acquisitions.htm)

---


---

| BUBL Link Catalogue of Internet Resources: *Acquisitions.* [http://bubl.ac.uk/link/a/acquisition.htm](http://bubl.ac.uk/link/a/acquisition.htm) |
### Week 8
**March 4 – 10, 2008**

**Distribution and acquisitions II: Approval Plans, Standing Orders, Serials**

http://www.library.ucsb.edu | March 11, 2008 |


|---------|-------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| March 11 – 17 | **NO CLASS** | **NO CLASS** | 4. Arizona State Library. Collection development policies.  
http://www.lib.az.us/cdt/colldev.htm  
http://www.dartmouth.edu/~cmdc/bibapp/cdpguide.html  
http://www.ala.org/Template.cfm?Section=collectiondevb  
Project III Part A due by 10:00 p.m. EDT |
http://www.lib.umd.edu/CLMD/Staff/CMstaff.html  
University of California, Santa Barbara. University Libraries. | Project III Part A due by 10:00 p.m. EDT |
### Week 11
**March 18 – 24**
**Selection and weeding**


---


---


---

| March 25, 2008 |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Outline for Collection Development Policy (Project III: Part B) due by 10:00 p.m. EDT | April 1, 2008 |


And...some articles on Google Books and other web-based initiatives:


http://www.aserl.org/statements/competencies/competencies.htm
Boston College Libraries. Collection Development [Competencies].
[http://www.bc.edu/bc_org/avp/ulib/staff/cdbasic.html]
|---|---|---|---|---|
institutional types
We have a presentation by three librarians from the academic, special and school library sector

Academic libraries:
Boston College Libraries. *Collection Development* [Competencies]. [http://www.bc.edu/bc_org/avp/lib/staff/cdbasic.html]


[Dangers in books recommended to kids for science fair projects]
[http://www.psla.org/]


[http://www.sla.org/content/Shop/Information/infoonline/2002/aug02/finnerty.cfm]
Week 14: April 8 - 14
Intellectual freedom and censorship

**ALSO:** Browse through one issue of the Newsletter on Intellectual Freedom.


American Library Association.

April 15, 2008
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guidelines and Considerations for Developing a Public Library Internet Use Policy. [<a href="http://www.ala.org/ala/oif/challengesupport/dealing/internetusepolicy.pdf">http://www.ala.org/ala/oif/challengesupport/dealing/internetusepolicy.pdf</a>]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Index on Censorship [<a href="http://www.indexonline.org/">http://www.indexonline.org/</a>]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parents Against Bad Books in Schools website [Fairfax County group - includes examples of passages in books found in local school libraries to which PABBIS objects] [<a href="http://www.pabbis.com/">http://www.pabbis.com/</a>]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 16: April 22 - 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Project I: Due January 29, 2008

PURPOSE: To evaluate how well review journals alert selectors to materials available for purchase

1. Select **15 items published in 2005** that interest you. For each, provide a full bibliographic citation (author, title, publisher or producer, date if available). The bibliographic citation should be in the American Psychological Association’s citation format. Your choices must be distributed as follows:
   - **10-13 books** from at least 6 different publishers. Find them by using WorldCat (electronic database on ALADIN) limiting the date to “2005 - 2005”. You can also use American Book Publishing Record or Books in Print [neither at CUA, but held by other libraries], if you wish - looking for items published in 2005--but do not use review sources - select from bibliographic sources, not book reviews!;
   - **1-2 government publications** issued in 2005, from the Catalog of U. S. Government Publications (http://catalog.gpo.gov/) - use the advanced search and enter 2005 to 2005 as the date;
   - **1-2 periodicals or magazines**, chosen from a serials directory (e.g., Ulrich's - print or electronic) - anything that was being published in 2005, no matter how long ago it began publication. Any set of 15 items properly distributed among books, gov docs, and periodicals is acceptable so long as they were published in 2005 - two years ago, since reviews take time to appear.

2. Search--carefully and completely--for reviews of your material in Book Review Index (available in print in CUA’s Mullen Library - be sure to check all volumes and issues from 2005 on) and Book Review Digest (available on ALADIN). (Just those two sources - you don’t have to look anywhere else.) List every review of each of your 15 items that you find indexed. Copying citations as they appear in the indexes is fine--don't worry about format so long as it's clear. Be sure not to miss anything. The ability to conduct a complete and accurate search for information is important in this field--and if your list is incomplete, your whole project is based on faulty data.

3. Rank the review journals reviewing your items by the number of items each reviewed. (Be careful - don’t count a review more than once just because it was indexed more than once, and don’t count multiple reviews of the same item in the same review journal - that’s still just one item you found reviewed there, not matter how many times they reviewed it.) Present these results in a ranked list headed by the review journal(s) that reviewed the largest number of your items and ending with the
review journals that reviewed just one item.

4. **See what you can find out about the books that received no reviews.** Try looking them up on Amazon.com or another online bookstore, and/or see if you can find a web page for their publishers including descriptions of the items. Do they have any characteristics in common? (Don’t worry about finding more information about the government documents or periodicals.)

5. Write a short (2-5 double-spaced pages) discussion of:

(1) **the coverage of your items in review journals.** Generally speaking, were these materials widely reviewed, or not? Can you say anything about the types of review journals that did or didn't review them? Be sure to mention in your paper **how many different review journals** you would have to consult to find reviews of items that were reviewed - did one journal review all of them, or would you have needed to read more than one - and if so, how many more?

(2) **the types of items that did not get reviewed.** Be sure to mention **how many items** were not reviewed anywhere. What characteristics they seemed to have in common?

**ONE SUGGESTION:** This is a good project to use to find out if you can rely on review journals for selection of materials in an area in which you’d like to work, and to see what review journals would be most helpful in selecting such items. So I’d suggest taking a specific subject (e.g., business) or type of book (e.g., children’s nonfiction) that matches your career objectives. But note that you are not required to do this. You can put the list together any way you want.

**TWO CAUTIONS:** (1) **Do not be alarmed if you find no - or hardly any - reviews at all.** That may be normal for some types of publications - and it’s important information for you if it’s an area in which you expect to work. Talk to me, and just keep going - it’s still a successful project, even if you find no reviews at all and have no review journals to rank.

(2) **Everyone’s work will be checked.** The instructor always checks 2 or 3 items to make sure searches were complete and accurate.
PLEASE NOTE THAT YOU WILL BE HANDING IN THREE (3) THINGS:

1. YOUR LIST OF 15 ITEMS, with the reviews each received (see 1 & 2 above)
2. YOUR RANKED LIST of review journals, based on the number of items reviewed (see 3 above) - unless, of course, you find no reviews;
3. YOUR SHORT PAPER discussing your findings (see 4 & 5 above)

COLLECTION EVALUATION PROJECT (Project II - A and B)

PURPOSE: To compare strengths and weaknesses of different collection evaluation methods

Choose a SMALL (10 - 50 titles) collection to evaluate. It should include everything in a specific classification number or range of numbers and/or shelved in a special location (e.g., all the Z687s in a branch library or all the links on a web page). THINK SMALL: bigger collections mean less time to think.

GROUP WORK is encouraged. Groups submit a single project, and everyone in the group receives the same grade.

Part A (due) includes a shelf examination and analysis of use of your collection.
Part B (due) includes a plan (just the plan - you are not expected to act on it) for assessing user needs and an analysis of collection quality done by checking an appropriate bibliography or list of recommended works against the collection.
Project II A - COLLECTION EVALUATION, PART A (due February 19, 2008)

20% of the grade:

1. Choose a collection (any subject, any collection - this is up to you - just keep it small).
2. Get a list of all materials in it (e.g., a print-out of catalog records by call number). (You will be handing in this list along with your project - and yes, you may hand in your catalog print-out; retyping not necessary unless you’re submitting it electronically) Please do not request special access to anything. If getting a list presents problems, discuss alternatives with the instructor.
3. Do a shelf examination. (a) Look at the collection as it sits on the shelf - is this a collection that would attract use? Are there obvious signs of use (e.g., gaps between books where one has been removed) or disuse (e.g., dust)? (b) Check what is on the shelf against your record of what is supposed to be in the collection - is anything missing? Anything there that is not on your list?) Examine individual items - are they in good condition? Do any need repair or replacement? (d) Do you notice anything else that might affect use of these materials, or indicate something about the collection’s value or about user needs?
4. Analyze use. Normally the measure you'll use is circulation - and in many libraries, the most accurate record of use of materials in the circulating collection is the dates stamped in the materials, so you may want to collect information with this along with your shelf examination. (Where all such records are lacking, you may have to rely on physical evidence of use - and include a better plan for studying use in Part B - see IIB,1 below.) Which materials are in demand, and which not?

NOW SUM THIS ALL UP: Evaluate your collection, based on your shelf examination and analysis of use. Be sure to mention any problems in gathering or interpreting data. Write up your evaluation as a four to eight page paper (double-spaced). Hand it in or submit it electronically (as an .rtf file attached to an email message sent to the instructor at kelleyk@cua.edu) up to 10 PM Tuesday.

Project II B - COLLECTION EVALUATION, PART B (due March 4, 2008)

20% of the grade:

1. Develop a plan for evaluating community information needs relevant to your collection (you must continue with the same collection used for Part A). Remember to include a means of studying use if circulation or equivalent (e.g., date stamp) use records were lacking in doing Part A.
2. Identify the most appropriate bibliography or list of recommended materials on your subject that you can find, and justify your decision. (The ideal bibliography is up to date, reflects current information needs and assessments of material currently available, and is appropriate to the collection size and the nature of the community served. Ideal bibliographies are rarely available - do the best you
can, just as you'd have to do on the job - but be sure to mention other candidates, explain why you feel the one you chose was best, and briefly assess the strengths and weaknesses of the bibliography or list you used.)

3. Evaluate your collection by checking your bibliography against it. Don’t worry about where something is shelved - if a work in the bibliography is owned by your library, it counts, whether it was cataloged into your collection or not. See how many of the listed works you have, and look for any patterns in what you do and don't have (e.g., all the recommended books on Europe but far fewer on Asia or Africa). Remember to check the bibliography against the library’s full collection - which items are owned, no matter where they are housed - and not the collection against the bibliography (whether individual items in your collection are listed in standard bibliographies or not is irrelevant).

4. Compare and contrast what you learned in step 3 of Part B (the bibliography check) to what you learned in step 3 (shelf examination) and in step 4 (analysis of use) of Part A. What does each approach tell you that the others don't? Do you think that all three together give you a complete evaluation of your collection - or do some questions still remain?

NOW SUM THIS ALL UP: Present your plan for evaluating information needs - and where needed, your plan for studying use. Explain how you chose your list or bibliography of recommended books (and why it's the best choice for your collection). Then discuss your collection's quality, based on results of checking your bibliography or list against the collection. Be sure to mention any problems - in developing a plan, in selecting a bibliography, or in gathering or interpreting data - and to include a few pages comparing and contrasting the three different approaches to evaluation (shelf examination, analysis of use, bibliography check) - how do the problems in implementing them, as well as the results, compare? Write this up your evaluation as a five to ten page paper (double-spaced). Hand it in or submit it electronically (as an .rtf file attached to an email message to the instructor at kelleyk@cua.edu up to 10 PM on Tuesday).

Project III: Part A: EVALUATING COLLECTION DEVELOPMENT POLICIES
(10% of the final grade)
due March 18, 2008

Purpose: To critically evaluate collection development policies in libraries and information centers.

1. Select two collection development policies to examine as a group. These policies may be ones from an actual library OR you may select them from http://www.acqweb.org/cd_policy.html. Examine the two collections critically. In a five-seven (5-7) page paper, provide the following information about the policy you examined:

2. Issues to consider as you prepare the paper:
   a. What type of policy is it? Is it primarily narrative? Does it include class analysis? Is it a combination of both types?
b. How thorough is the policy? Does it include all of the elements of a comprehensive collection development policy?
c. Does it cover the entirety of materials collected in the collection or does it exclude certain materials (or remain silent on these materials?)
d. Is the policy clear and readable?
e. Does it appear to be regularly updated?
f. Does it appear to be flexible and useful?
g. Does it lack any information that would be useful to have as a library staff member? Patron?
h. Who appears to be the audience for the policy?
i. Does the policy indicate how often it is updated or how it is updated and by whom?

3. After you consider the above questions, evaluate the collection development policy, draw some conclusions about the policy and be sure to highlight which of the two policies is better and explain why. If you believe the policies could be improved, explain how they could be improved and what you would do to improve the policies.
Project III: Part B: CREATING A COLLECTION DEVELOPMENT POLICY
(25% of the final grade)
(due April 22, 2008)

Purpose:
To develop a collection development policy for a library or information center. Please select one of the two scenarios provided below to guide your group as you create a collection development policy. This is a group project. Groups will be established in the first three weeks of the semester. Once established, it is time for the group members to introduce themselves to one another and find an opportunity to get together to discuss the project and your group’s approach to completing the project. The Blackboard classroom site is another location where you can collaborate and work together if getting together in person poses problems.

As your group discusses how to approach the collection development policy assignment, remember the basic elements that exist in any collection development policy are as follows:

1. An introduction
2. A statement of the mission, vision and goals for the library
3. A description of the community served
4. A statement of the people/process for developing the collection
5. An intellectual freedom statement
6. The source of funds used to develop the collection, including formulas, when relevant that guide spending for the library
7. The selection priorities, procedures for the library including what is collected, what is not collected, who the collection is intended to serve and how the library addresses deficiencies in the collection also. This likely the heart of the document and should provide a clear understanding for the reader of the library’s approach to collection development. It is especially important to discuss all types of materials in the collection and if relevant, provide a special section on the collection policy for electronic collections.
8. A description of any special collections and the priorities and procedures for collection for these materials.
9. The library’s approach to weeding material in the collection and how the library disposes of materials once they are no longer relevant/useful in the collection.
10. A section stating the library’s acceptance of gifts and donations, how the library will approach gifts and whether the gifts become the property of the library.
11. A description of any cooperative relationships maintained by the library.
12. The library’s approach to handling complaints and challenges to the collection.

Be sure your group is considering the above elements as you select your approach to developing a collection development policy. Further, that you consider the issues that arose when you examined, and evaluated, a collection development policy in the Part A of this assignment. To begin, select one of the library scenarios provided below and let the instructor know which library scenario, #1 or #2, you will use as the basis for formulating your collection development policy.

There are three basic models for a collection development policy: the classed analysis, narrative, or a combination of elements from these two basic models. Further, e-collections are typically incorporated into the collection development policy to some extent.

**POTENTIAL SCENARIOS**

**Scenario I:**

**Cook County Public Library**

The Cook County Public Library is located in a small southern town of approximately 10,000. It is a member of a regional public library network of fourteen public libraries, each serving towns of similar size. The libraries in the network share a union online catalog and have twice-weekly courier service between the libraries. The Cook County Library has a collection of 30,000 volumes and 120 current subscriptions. The network of public libraries for the region also provides patron access to approximately 25 databases which include reader advisory databases and basic reference databases that are chosen by the representatives from each library in collaboration with their colleagues. The libraries in the network have a formula for the sharing of the costs of providing the databases to the counties’ residents. The library has a small special collection of historic maps from the region and a collection focusing on the works of local authors from the area. There are four terminals in the library that provide access to the union catalog, the Internet, and the databases provided through the network. The databases offered include OCLC’s WorldCat, Masterfile Premier, HealthSource Consumer Edition, Novelist, and Gale Legal Forms. The Cook County Library has a full-time professional librarian, Matthew Boyd, who reports to the town’s library board and manages the library. He has 3.5 full-time staff members, none of whom have the graduate library degree. One staff member serves as the children’s library staff member for programming and selection. Matthew is responsible for selecting all of the other materials.
The annual materials budget is $45,000 of which $12,000 is spent on serials and $10,000 is spent on databases and the rest is dedicated to book purchasing. The population in Cook County is growing rapidly and Matthew Boyd has successfully obtained permission to expand the size of the Cook County Library. However, the timeline for the newly expanded branch will take 7-10 years. In the meantime, the Cook County Branch is rapidly running out of space and needs to send materials to a storage facility, shared by the network, in order to allow for increased space to a cramped, but heavily used children’s collection. In addition, Matthew’s library board wants the Cook County Library to serve as the focus of support for services to the elderly and disabled, in addition to its role in serving the general user population at the branch. There are funds under consideration to support this effort, the current amount varies between $7,000 - $10,000 over a three year period.

The Cook County Library is the main library used by the local public schools. There are three schools nearby to the branch of which one is a private, religious school that lacks a library entirely. As a result, Cook County regularly receives lists of materials needed to support the students in both the public and private institutions in close proximity. Often, the students depend on the public library to provide support for their school projects. In addition, there is growth in the County in the assisted living market. Cook County recently became the location of two, new assisted living facilities because of the availability of the tri-county hospital located near the library.

Cook County is the county seat, contains the County Courthouse, the hospital for three counties, and the jail. The primary source of income is farming. However, the community is not far from a major city and is rapidly becoming a bedroom community with a large commuter population.

Scenario II:

Forest College

Forest College is a small liberal arts college located in a Groversville, Ohio. Groversville is an extremely remote location (to this day) where the founders, ministers of a religious denomination, believed the students could be focused on education without distractions. In 150 years, the library has amassed a collection of approximately 650,000 volumes, 600 current serial subscriptions, and 140
databases. The library’s budget for collection development is $1,600,000 dollars of which a third is from endowment income because the donors wanted to encourage buying in specific areas such as American Literature, an area of excellence for the College. There is also an endowment of $50,000 to purchase books for entertainment; a donor provided the endowment to ensure students had reading material for relaxation in addition to their school-related reading. The rise in serials prices caused the College to curtail their book buying to continue to cover the cost of the serials in the collection and slowed purchasing of serials titles to the extent that the College no longer buys a new title without first dropping a current title in the collection. There have been no substantial increases in the library’s budget in the previous 10 years. Each academic discipline has a faculty member who has a materials budget to purchase books or other one-time purchases provided from the library’s budget. There are five librarians in the library with selection responsibility for the disciplines. The librarians have their own budget for selection in addition to the funds spent by the faculty member within the department. In the event the faculty member does not purchase materials from his/her budget, the librarian may also spend those funds. There are often funds left over from the faculty because they claim they do not need books but access to serials of importance to their discipline. There are 21 disciplines in the College and four programs such as women’s studies, environmental studies, Afro-American studies and medieval studies (these programs are not majors but do lead to a certificate in association with obtaining the baccalaureate degree). Developing new programs is one mechanism the College uses to be competitive and demonstrate relevance to a changing society and student interests.

The library has an archives and special collections. The areas of collection are first editions and 19th century American writers. There is a collection of government documents that takes up roughly one third of the floors of the library. However, the library has not been a Federal repository since 1878. The library building was erected in the 1960s but there have never been any additions to the library and so by 2010 it will be full to capacity. There is no off-site storage for the library and there are no current plans to expand the library’s physical space. There are 2000 students and 140 full-time faculty. The institution is a highly-selective liberal arts college and as a result, students are highly motivated and 40% go on to obtain a Ph.D. and teach at other colleges or universities. In addition, the college’s library is the main library serving the local community. This includes having substantial non-credit summer programs which offer on-site housing and use of the library. These summer programs focus on a music festival and a nationally acclaimed writing program lead by one of Forest College’s faculty who is an internationally acclaimed writer. The primary economy for the local community is tourism and agriculture. The College is a major employer for the area. The primary audience for the library’s collection, in the view of the Library Director, is the students and faculty of the college. One of the space issues is that the library is the only place on campus where students can work in groups and so space in the library is extremely popular and students spend a significant amount of time in the library working in small groups.

The Forest College library is part of two consortiums. One is a group of colleges in the region, most of which are less well funded than the Forest College library. The second group is a consortium that covers a larger area and the colleges in this group are of similar size.
In the second consortium the libraries share a common union catalog. There are also shared databases that are purchased to serve the member libraries. The purchases are supported by the consortium members through a formula based on the library’s materials budget and size of the faculty and students served.

1. Decide on which scenario (above) to use as the basis for developing your collection development policy.
2. From the Part A of this assignment, decide which type of policy document style you want to use. Select narrative, class analysis or a combination of both. Be sure to include a justification for your choice of approach for your collection development policy. Why did you select this type of model? Is this model more useful for this type of library?
3. Develop an outline of the policy for review and feedback by the instructor. Be sure to consider which elements to include in the policy and further, which elements to exclude. Discuss what other policies need to be formulated in addition to the policy you are developing.
4. Discuss which stakeholders should be consulted in formulating the collection development policy.
5. Provide information on what fund lines and sub-accounts that would be in the budget and defend those selections based on the policy and support of the purposes of the policy.
6. Decide the audience for your collection development policy.
7. Describe the likely approval process the policy will go through to be formally approved and implemented.

**Project III: Part C:**

5% of final grade
(due April 29, 2008)

Group presentation for Project III: The Collection Development Policy. Develop a PowerPoint slide presentation on the collection development policy you created and the process you went through to develop the policy. Prepare to give a presentation for your colleagues where you talk about the elements you considered, and discuss the questions provided above in Part B. Discuss what you learned, what you think went well and what you thought did not go as well as desired. Discuss how this project affected your perspective on developing a collection development policy and what you would do the same (or differently in the future).
Formatting of your assignments.

- For hard copy work securely fasten together any papers that are more than 1 page.
- Place your name(s) and the assignment name and assignment number on the first page.
- Minimum margins are 1 ¼” left and 1” top, bottom, and 1 ¼” right.
- Use double-spaced line spacing, or space and a half, not single-spaced.
- Submitted work must have a professional appearance and may not be handwritten.
- Any work submitted with numerous grammatical errors will result in penalty. Don’t give me your first draft. I will know it is the first draft. Be sure to edit, revise, and provide me with a clean copy of your work. This is expected in your professional life, provide me the same courtesy.

Participation & Conduct:

- Attendance is mandatory. Attendance is required, in keeping with university policy. Students may miss up to 1 class without a penalty. Your participation grade will drop by 1 point for every absence after 1 missed class.

- Late work. Assignments are due at the start of class. Assignments turned in after the due time without prior approval will be penalized 10% for each 24-hour period that it is late. Assignments that are over 3 days late will NOT be accepted unless arrangements have been made with me. I encourage you to consult with me about any special circumstances that will affect your ability to finish your assignments or turn in your assignments on time.

- Makeup work. If a student has a legitimate reason, such as a family emergency, I will consider allowing makeup work. The amount and nature of the work is up to me and will be graded by the end of the semester.

- Arrive on time. Chronic lateness can negatively affect class participation grades.
• **Behave respectfully.** Students are expected to behave respectfully while in class. Participation grades will reflect a student’s maturity level and professionalism, and whether the student actively participates in class discussions.

• **No phone calls during class.** Turn off or silence cell phones and pagers. Students leaving the room for calls are not allowed to return to that class session.

• **No grade discussions in class.** I cannot adequately discuss grades in class. First consider why I deducted points. If you still disagree, explain your disagreement in a private e-mail to me only. I will also meet to discuss with you if you continue to have issues with the grade(s).

**Campus Resources for student support:**

Academic Tutoring and Learning Assistance Service (ATLAS)
http://counseling.cua.edu/atlas/
101 O'Boyle Hall
Phone: (202) 319-5018

CUA Counseling Center
http://counseling.cua.edu/services/
127 O'Boyle Hall
Phone: (202) 319-5765

Mullen Library
http://libraries.cua.edu/welcome.html
Phone: (202) 319-5070

Office of Career Services
http://careers.cua.edu/
They also offer help with interview preparation and mock interviews.
http://careers.cua.edu/studalum/Servicesandassistance.cfm#mockinte
Syllabus changes:

- I reserve the right to make changes to this syllabus if circumstances warrant such change. All changes will be provided to students in writing either in a handout in class or via the Blackboard classroom for this course.